Journal Publication of International Research for Engineering and Management (JOIREM)
Volume: 04 Issue: 07 | July-2026
ISSN (O) 3107-6696

Statistical Inference Methods for Triangle Taste Test Data and Their Applications

S. Sujatha M. Com., M. Phil., MBA., P.hD.,
Assistant professor & Head,
Department of B. Com (Accounting & Finance),
Mohamed Sathak College of Arts and Science, Sholinaganallur,
Chennai 600 119.
Mobile: +918939326511.Email: sujathamscas@gmail.com

U. Anbarasi M.Com., M.Phil.,PGDRB., PGDPD., Ph.D
Assistant professor
Department of B. Com (Accounting & Finance),
Mohamed Sathak College of Arts and Science, Sholinaganallur,
Chennai 600 119.
Mobile: +919715483846.Email: Uanbarasi2@gmail.com

Abstract - This paper plots techniques for thing differentiations testing and tendency testing by method for using triangle taste appraisals records. Binomial dispersal theory and hypothesis test system are used since that the current truthful induction procedures for triangle trials methodologies for material and quality examination of cigarette things have a couple of deficiencies. Finally, two logical examinations are given, which have indispensable authoritative repercussions to cigarette endeavors.

1. Introduction

The triangle trials system is used essentially for "differentiation testing". It is a champion among the most essential systems of material and quality evaluation of tobacco smoke thing for cigarette makers. These tests help with surveying effects of progression in arranging things and planning. The rule for triangle trials technique is that the assessors are given three things, two of which are vague and the other one is unmistakable. The assessors are mentioned that state which thing they acknowledge is the odd one. Through field assessment on accomplices of Hongyun Honghe Tobacco Group, it is set up that the triangle trials have been for the most part grasped by cigarette makers, anyway inside a wrong way from a true see. Exactly when the cigarette creators determine the substantial and nature of their things by using triangle trials data, most of them suffer messes up. They use test explicitly instead of masses according to the lion's share rule which is insufficiency in real construing process and quantifiable systems supporting, along these lines informal decisions have been made on cigarette thing quality judgment and lead to informal organization decisions. This kind of issues not simply exists in triangle trials for things material and quality examination, yet, also in evaluation of significant worth control works out. The makers did a total framework about truthful inference systems for using triangle trial data through the National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) database, yet found a couple of writing in china. The things of this paper are to enhance this fissure and focus on the investigation of quantifiable acceptance strategies in perspective on the logical estimations speculation by methods for using triangle taste checks actualities. This paper is dealt with as: Section 2 is procedures diagram of quantifiable inducing, and systems plot for differentiation and goodness test for thing unmistakable and quality which relies upon the Binomial dispersal theory. Section 3 is logical investigation, taking the Qujing cigarette maker as a case.

2. Presentation for Applications of Triangle Taste Tests

Inside the triangle trials technique for cigarettes things, right off the bat no under 7 assessors collected randomly, by then a movement of three sorts of cigarettes things which two are vague and the other one is unmistakable are shown to the assessors. The assessors are mentioned that perceive which one isn't exactly equivalent to the next two and take a gander at the material and nature of these things. By then the triangle trials data are accumulated and analyzed to choose duty to choosing decisions by the cigarette makers. Obviously, this is a system of genuine decision in perspective on minimal fundamental data.

2.2. Presentation of Mathematical Statistics Theory of Binomial Distribution

In logical bits of knowledge, the binomial apportionment is the discrete probability transport of the amount of triumphs in a game plan of n free yes or no preliminaries, every one of which yields achievement with probability. Such a success/disillusionment examination is moreover called a Bernoulli test or a Bernoulli preliminary; when n = 1, the binomial movement is a Bernoulli flow. Binomial transport depicts the lead of a number variable X if the going with conditions apply: 1) the amount of impression of n is settled. 2) Each discernment is free. 3) Each recognition addresses one of two outcomes (yes or no). 4) The probability of us' p is the equivalent for each outcome. The off peril that these conditions are met, at that point x has a binomial allocation with parameters n and p , consolidated b(n, p).

3. Data Description

Qujing tobacco mechanical office used triangle trials to test substantial and nature of Brand-X and Brand-Y which were made by different age development in 2013 and 20 assessors were incorporated out and out for each brand. Brand-X can be additionally parceled as Sample-1 and Sample-2. These two models were made of a similar rough material anyway differentiated from system An and strategy B exclusively with little adjustment. For Brand-X, the triangle trials quantifiable outcomes exhibited that, 10 assessors perceived the odd one cigarette from the other two vague cigarettes. Plus, 6 assessors favored method A cigarettes and the other 4 assessors favored procedure B cigarettes. Basically, Brand-Y can be additionally segregated as Sample-3 and Sample-4. These two examples were moreover made of a similar unrefined material yet fluctuated from system An and methodology B independently with little alteration. For Brand-Y, the triangle trials quantifiable outcomes showed that, 11 assessors perceived the odd one cigarette from the other two undefined cigarettes. In addition, 4 assessors favored method A cigarettes and the other 7 assessors favored technique B cigarettes. The going with Table 1 shows the main triangle trials data.

3.2. Results and Analysis of Statistical Inference

Considering the above example data, what commonly Qujing tobacco modern office wrapped up were: 1) for Brand-X, there was no complexity between strategy An and methodology B, since half of the assessors (10 assessors out of 20) distinguished the odd one cigarette from the other two indistinct cigarettes, they acknowledged there were existing material and quality differentiations yet the other half hold the reverse inclination. 2) for Brand-Y, there existed qualification between procedure An and methodology B and strategy B was better than anything process-A, since the greater part of the assessors (11 assessors out of 20) analyzed the bizarre one cigarette from the elective two undefined cigarettes, likewise more noteworthy than half of these assessors (7 assessors out of 11) like to process-B.Differences of unmistakable and nature of the things, if the centrality level α is 0.1 (off course expulsion of a geanuine invalid hypothesis of absence of enthusiasm of substantial and nature of things). If the significance level α is 0.05, for Brand-X, the invalid hypothesis of absence of enthusiasm of unmistakable and nature of things couldn't be rejected, ensuing to the p-worth is 0.0919 and $0.0919 > 0.05$. However, for Brand-Y, the invalid hypothesis of absence of enthusiasm of material and nature of things could be rejected, after the p-worth is 0.0376 and $0.0376 < 0.05$ .These two p-values exhibit that, for both Brand-X and Brand-Y cigarette, the invalid theory of without improvements in the substantial and nature of the things by grasping handle A can't be rejected, paying little heed to loosening up of the significance level to 0.1 even 0.3. In addition, as indicated some time as of late, tendency testing for two different sorts of things after by using process-An and method B could be come to through different sides test:The above p-values exhibit that, for both Brand-X and Brand-Y cigarette, there is no obvious tendency to either significance level to 0.1 still 0.5. This is proportional to state, there is no colossal confirmation to exhibit that the assessors have any tendencies to the things which adjusted by strategy An or methodology B paying little mind to the likelihood that they can separate the qualifications of unmistakable what's progressively, quality. 3) Comparison of assessment results All the above assessment by using Binomial transport theory and hypothesis test procedure shut in an unforeseen way differentiated and the cigarette makers.

4. Findings

Binomial examination is a truthful investigation that has the going with properties: The test involves n reiterated preliminaries. Each preliminary can realize just two possible outcomes. We consider one of these outcomes a success and the other, a mistake. The probability of advancement, shown by P, is the equivalent on every preliminary. The preliminaries are independent; that is, the outcome on one preliminary does not impact the outcome on various preliminaries. Think about the going with quantifiable assessment. you turn a coin 2 occurrences and investigate the amount of times the coin arrives on heads. This is a binomial investigation in light of the way that: The preliminary contains repeated preliminaries. We flip a coin multiple times. Each preliminary can realize just two possible outcomes - heads or tails. The probability of achievement is reliable - 0.5 on every preliminary. the torments are free; this is, getting heads on one preliminary does now not impact whether we get heads on explicit preliminaries.

5. Conclusion

Triangle trials technique had been associated extensively in cigarette endeavors for things unmistakable and quality assessment. In any case, they didn't make full usage of the triangle trials data in a lacking manner. Thusly, there wasn't adequate affirmation to exhibit their determinations, which was awful to settle on exploratory decisions. In this paper, systems for thing differentiations testing and tendency testing for things material and quality assessment were de-set apart in perspective on Binomial assignment speculation and hypothesis test methodology. Also, two logical investigations were given for giving fundamental managerial implications to cigarette adventures.

6. References

1. Borovkov, A.A. (1999) Mathematical Statistics. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
2. Chen, P., Li, W. and Zhang, Z.J. (2006) Probability and Statistics. 2nd Edition, Science Press, Beijing.
3. Sheng, Z., Xie, S.Q. and Pan C.Y. (2010) Probability and Mathematical Statistics. 4th Edition, Higher Education Press,Beijing.
4. Wadsworth, G. P. (1960). Introduction to Probability and Random Variables. New York: McGraw-Hill. p. 52.
5. Lord, Nick. (July 2010). "Binomial averages when the mean is an integer", The Mathematical Gazette 94, 331-332.
6. Kaas, R.; Buhrman, J.M. (1980). "Mean, Median and Mode in Binomial Distributions". Statistica Neerlandica 34 (1): 13–18. Doi:10.1111/j.1467-9574.1980.tb00681.
7. Novak S.Y. (2011) Extreme value methods with applications to finance. London: CRC/ Chapman & Hall/Taylor & Francis. ISBN 9781-43983-5746.
8. Mandelbrot, B. B., Fisher, A. J., & Calvet, L. E. (1997). A multifractal model of asset returns. 3.2 The Binomial Measure is the Simplest Example of a Multifractal
9. Theorem 11.1.3 in Cover, T.; Thomas, J. (2006). Elements of Information Theory (2nd ed.). Wiley. p. 350.
10. Matoušek, J, Vondrak, J: The Probabilistic Method (lecture notes) [1]