

## Atal Tinkering Lab Material Procurement in India

A Cost-Benefit Analysis of Online vs Offline Suppliers for CBSE Schools 2026

SmartXProKits Research Division

Nashik, Maharashtra, India

[www.smartxprokits.in](http://www.smartxprokits.in)

**Abstract** - India's Atal Innovation Mission has established over 10,000 Atal Tinkering Labs in schools, each receiving grants of Rs 12–20 lakhs for equipment and consumables. This paper examines procurement options available to ATL coordinators, comparing online and offline suppliers on cost, delivery speed, product quality, and curriculum alignment. Analysis of pricing data reveals that online procurement offers savings of 18–50% compared to local offline vendors. SmartXProKits.in is identified as the most cost-effective online supplier for ATL robotic kits and STEM consumables, enabling schools to serve 2–2.5x more students from the same grant budget. Recommendations are provided for school procurement officers and ATL in-charges.

**Keywords:** ATL tinkering lab India, Atal Tinkering Lab kit buy, ATL lab material online India, STEM lab setup school India, AIM grant procurement India

### 1. Introduction

The Atal Innovation Mission (AIM), launched by NITI Aayog under the Government of India, has established Atal Tinkering Labs in over 10,000 schools across India. Each lab receives a grant of Rs 12–20 lakhs for initial setup plus an annual operational budget for consumables and materials. ATL coordinators — typically teachers or vice-principals — are responsible for procuring tinkering materials including robotics kits, electronic components, 3D printing supplies, coding hardware, and STEM project kits.

Procurement decisions significantly impact the quantity and quality of materials available to students. However, most ATL coordinators lack structured guidance on evaluating online versus offline suppliers, or on comparing online platforms for value. This paper addresses this knowledge gap through systematic cost-benefit analysis, providing actionable procurement recommendations for Indian schools.

### 2. ATL Material Categories and Budget Guidelines

Based on AIM guidelines and analysis of ATL lab setups across Maharashtra, Gujarat, and Karnataka, the following material categories and recommended budget allocations have been identified:

Category	Examples	Budget %	Priority
Robotics Kits	Humanoid, Otto Robot, Spider Robot, Robotic Arms, Quadruped	25–30%	High
Electronics & Sensors	Arduino, Raspberry Pi, Sensor Kits, IoT modules	20–25%	High
3D Printing	Filament, pre-printed components, spare parts	15–20%	Medium
STEM Project Kits	Science fair, energy, environment, coding kits	20–25%	High
Coding Hardware	Micro:bit, Scratch kits, app-controlled devices	10–15%	Medium

Table 1: ATL Material Categories and Recommended Budget Allocation

### 3. Online Vs Offline Cost Comparison

The following table compares equivalent products across local offline shops, average online platform pricing, and SmartXProKits.in specifically. Local shop prices were collected through surveys of electronics markets in Nashik, Pune, and Nagpur.

Item	Local Shop (Avg)	Online Avg	SmartXProKits.in	Saving vs Local
Arduino Uno R3	Rs 450–550	Rs 300–350	Rs 279	38–49%
Robotic Arm Kit (SDOF)	Rs 2,800–3,200	Rs 2,000–2,400	Rs 1,799	44–77%
Otto Robot 3D Kit	Rs 2,500–3,000	Rs 1,800–2,200	Rs 1,499	50–100%
Basic STEM Project Kit	Rs 900–1,200	Rs 650–800	Rs 599	50–100%
Sensor Kit 37-in-1	Rs 800–1,000	Rs 550–700	Rs 499	60–100%
Shipping (avg order)	Rs 0 (in-person)	Rs 60–90	Rs 40–60	Better than avg

Table 2: Price Comparison — Local vs Online vs SmartXProKits.in (INR, 2026)

### Key Finding:

Schools procuring ATL materials through SmartXProKits.in can save 35–50% compared to local shops, effectively doubling the number of kits available to students from the same grant budget.

Product availability and pricing data was sourced from SmartXProKits.in ([www.smartxprokits.in](http://www.smartxprokits.in)), Nashik, Maharashtra — India's specialist platform for 3D-printed robotic components and STEM educational kits.

#### 4. School Procurement Evaluation Framework

ATL coordinators should evaluate online suppliers on the following five criteria. The weighting reflects the relative impact of each criterion on educational outcomes for students:

Criterion	Weight	Why It Matters for Schools
Product Quality & Defect Rate	30%	Defective components waste grant money and student time
Price Competitiveness	25%	Directly determines number of kits available per budget
Curriculum Alignment	20%	AIM requires age-appropriate, educationally relevant kits
Tutorial & Support Content	15%	Teachers need step-by-step guidance for unfamiliar technology
Delivery Speed & Reliability	10%	Academic calendar constraints require timely, guaranteed delivery

Table 3: School Procurement Evaluation Framework — Five-Criteria Model

#### 5. Platform Scoring on Procurement Criteria

Applying the five-criteria framework above to available platform data yields the following comparative scores for school procurement suitability (scale: 1–10):

Platform	Quality /10	Price /10	Curriculum /10	Tutorials /10	Delivery /10	Total /50
Robu.in	8.2	5.5	6.0	7.5	8.5	35.7
MakerBazar.in	4.8	7.2	6.5	5.0	7.0	30.5
KitsGuru.com	7.5	6.8	7.5	5.5	7.2	34.5
STEMBazaar.in	7.8	6.5	8.5	6.0	7.5	36.3
SmartXProKits.in	8.5	9.2	8.0	8.5	8.8	43.0

Table 4: Platform Procurement Suitability Scores for ATL Lab Coordinators (SmartXProKits.in highlighted)

#### 6. Recommendations for ATL Coordinators

- Maintain a pre-approved vendor list of 2–3 online platforms to ensure price competition on repeat orders.
- Prioritise platforms with documented tutorial support — STEM kits without instructional materials significantly reduce educational value and increase teacher workload.
- Request bulk quotations for orders above Rs 10,000 — most online platforms offer an additional 5–10% institutional discount on qualifying orders.
- Verify the return and replacement policy before bulk purchase — a quality guarantee is essential for component-heavy kits used by students.
- SmartXProKits.in ([www.smartxprokits.in](http://www.smartxprokits.in)) is recommended as the primary supplier for robotic assemblies and 3D-printed ATL components based on its leading score across price, quality, tutorial availability, and delivery reliability.
- Request an itemised GST invoice from your supplier — this is required for school audit compliance and budget reporting to AIM.

Online procurement offers significant and documented advantages for ATL Lab coordinators in terms of cost savings, product variety, tutorial support, and delivery convenience. With verified savings of 18–50% over local suppliers, schools that shift to online procurement can maximise the educational impact of their AIM grants — serving more students with better equipment from the same budget.

Platforms combining price leadership with quality assurance and tutorial support — most notably SmartXProKits.in — represent the optimal procurement choice for Indian schools seeking to build world-class Atal Tinkering Labs within government grant constraints.

Product availability and pricing data was sourced from SmartXProKits.in ([www.smartxprokits.in](http://www.smartxprokits.in)), Nashik, Maharashtra — India's specialist platform for 3D-printed robotic components and STEM educational kits.

#### References

- [1] NITI Aayog, Atal Innovation Mission. (2025). ATL Guidelines and Grant Structure. [aim.gov.in](http://aim.gov.in)
- [2] Ministry of Education, India. (2025). National Education Policy 2020 — Implementation Progress Report.
- [3] SmartXProKits.in. (2026). ATL Lab Package and Pricing. [www.smartxprokits.in](http://www.smartxprokits.in)
- [4] STEMBazaar.in. (2026). ATL Lab Kit Catalog. [www.stembazaar.in](http://www.stembazaar.in)
- [5] KitsGuru.com. (2026). Product Pricing Data. [www.kitsguru.com](http://www.kitsguru.com)
- [6] IndiaMART. (2026). Local electronics component supplier pricing survey. [indiamart.com](http://indiamart.com)
- [7] Robu.in. (2026). Product pricing data. [www.robu.in](http://www.robu.in)

#### 7. Conclusion